

1 BILAL A. ESSAYLI
Acting United States Attorney
2 JOSEPH T. MCNALLY
Assistant United States Attorney
3 Acting Chief, Criminal Division
CATHARINE A. RICHMOND (Cal. Bar No. 301184)
4 Assistant United States Attorney
Major Crimes Section
5 1300 United States Courthouse
312 North Spring Street
6 Los Angeles, California 90012
Telephone: (213) 894-7162
7 Facsimile: (213) 894-0141
Email: catharine.richmond@usdoj.gov

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff
9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

11 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 ROHAN SANDEEP RANE, ET AL.,
COLLIN JOHN THOMAS WALKER (2),
16 KALEB CHRISTOPHER MERRITT (3),
and
17 CLINT JORDAN LOPAKA
NAHOOIKA KA BERGE (4),
18 Defendants.

No. CR 25-40-HDV

[PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL
DATE AND FINDINGS REGARDING
EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS PURSUANT
TO SPEEDY TRIAL ACT

[PROPOSED] TRIAL DATE:

[02-24-26]

[PROPOSED] PRETRIAL CONFERENCE:

[02-12-26]

20 The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding
21 Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of
22 Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the
23 parties in this matter on September 8, 2025. The Court hereby finds
24 that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into
25 this Order, demonstrates facts that support a continuance of the
26 trial date in this matter, and provides good cause for a finding of
27 excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.
28

1 The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by
2 the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and
3 defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance
4 would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible,
5 or result in a miscarriage of justice; and (iii) failure to grant the
6 continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel
7 and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for
8 effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due
9 diligence.

10 THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

11 1. The trial in this matter is continued from October 7, 2025,
12 to February 24, 2026. The pretrial conference is continued to
13 February 12, 2025.

14 2. The time period from the date of this order to February 24,
15 2025m inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the
16 trial must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A),
17 (h)(7)(B)(i), and (B)(iv).

18 //

20 //

1 3. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other
2 provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time
3 periods are excluded from the period within which trial must
4 commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of
5 the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of
6 additional time periods from the period within which trial must
7 commence.

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9
10 _____
11 DATE

HONORABLE HERNÁN D. VERA
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

12
13
14 Presented by:

15 *Catharine Richmond*

16 _____
17 CATHARINE A. RICHMOND
18 Assistant United States Attorney
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28